

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/717,028	11/18/2003	Bo Li	H0005567.36146 USA -4780	7345
128 7550 HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC. PATENT SERVICES 101 COLUMBIA ROAD PO BOX 2245			EXAMINER	
			JOHNSON, CONNIE P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MORRISTOWN, NJ 07962-2245			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/05/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Application No. Applicant(s) 10/717,028 LI ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit CONNIE P. JOHNSON 1795 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 November 2009. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.3.5-15.18.26-31.37 and 59 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,3.5-15.18.26-31,37 and 59 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/13/09.10/12/09.11/10/09.2/3/10.

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) T Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/717,028 Page 2

Art Unit: 1795

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Response to Amendment

 The remarks and amendment filed 11/30/2009 have been entered and fully considered

- Claims 1, 3, 5-15, 18, 26-31, 37 and 59 are presented.
- Claims 1 and 13 are amended.
- 4. Claim 59 is new.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 35(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

 Claims 1, 3, 5-15 and 59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kennedy et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,506,497 B1.

The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Art Unit: 1795

Kennedy teaches an anti-reflective coating composition comprising one or more organic based compounds (col. 2, lines 63-67). The composition also comprises silane reactants and a phase transfer catalyst, which meet the limitations of the material modification agents in claim 1 (col. 6, lines 22-34). The organic compounds have an absorption peak at least 10nm wide over wavelengths 248, 193 and 365nm (col. 4, lines 44-47). The organic compounds comprise two or more benzene rings as in claim 8 (see figures 1a and 1b). Kennedy also teaches naphthalene based compounds, which have fused benzene rings as in claim 9 (col. 4, line 33). The organic compounds include anthraflavic acid, 9-anthracene carboxylic acid, 9-anthracene methanol and alizarin (col. 2, lines 63-67 and col. 3, lines 1-3) (claim 10). The composition also comprises inorganic compounds including silicon based compounds, such as methylsiloxane, methylsilesquioxane, phenylsiloxane and hydrogensilsesquioxane polymers (col. 3, lines 7-22 and col. 4, lines 5-32).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 1, 27, 29-31 and 59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kennedy et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,506,497 B1 as evidenced by Thies et al., U.S. Patent Publication No. 2009/0029145 A1.

Art Unit: 1795

Kennedy teaches an anti-reflective coating composition comprising one or more organic based compounds (col. 2, lines 63-67), compounds that are representative of material modification agents, such as silane reactants and a phase transfer catalyst (col. 6, lines 22-34) and a silicon-containing inorganic compound (col. 3, lines 7-22 and col. 4, lines 5-32). Kennedy also teaches that the organic absorbing compound has an absorption peak at least 10nm wide over wavelengths 248, 193 and 365nm (col. 4, lines 44-47). The composition also comprises methylphenylsilsesquioxane, methylsilsesquioxane, methylsiloxane and phenylsiloxane polymers (col. 4, lines 5-10) (claim 59). Kennedy does not teach specific compounds as adhesion promoters. However, Kennedy teaches ethoxy-containing silane reactants in the composition. The ethoxy-containing silane reactants are well known in the art to form adhesive compounds as evidenced by Thies et al, page 6, [0066]). The ethoxy-containing silane reactants are representative of the alkoxy-containing silane monomer in present claim 31. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that ethoxy-containing silane monomers (col. 6, lines 1-20) and silicate polymers would also function as adhesion promoters by the teachings of Kennedy.

 Claims 1, 3, 11, 12, 13, 26, 27, 28 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Putzer, U.S. Patent Publication No. 2004/0122197 A1 in view of Baldwin et al., U.S. Patent Publication No. 2002/0068181 A1.

Putzer teaches a composition comprising an organic dye (page 6, [0087]), a polyorganosiloxane (col. 2, [0012-0016]), polydimethylsiloxanes and aminopropyltriethoxysilane (APTEOS) as an adhesion promoter as in instant claim 31

Art Unit: 1795

(page 3, [0045] and formulation C, Table 2) and a catalyst as in instant claim 1. The organic dye is capable of absorbing radiation and meets the limitations of an organic absorbing compound. The catalyst comprises an acid as in instant claims 26 and 28 (page 5, [0069]). Putzer also teaches salts of phosphoric acid esters that meet the limitations of a neutral adhesion promoter (page 4, [0053]). Putzer does not teach an organic absorbing compound that has an absorption peak of at least 0.5nm wide at wavelengths of less than 375nm.

However, Baldwin teaches a coating composition comprising an organic absorbing compound, an inorganic based compound and a silane reactant. The organic absorbing compound has an absorption peak at least approximately 10nm wide wavelength range at wavelengths less than 375nm (page 2, [0014]). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add the organic absorbing compound of Baldwin in the composition of Putzer because Baldwin teaches the organic absorbing compounds are suitable for spin on glass compositions.

10. Claims 1, 3, 7, 11, 12, 13, 18, 26, 29, 30, 31 and 37 are rejected under 35

U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ravichandran et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,677,392

B2 in view of Hayashi et al., U.S. Patent Publication No. 2003/0091838 A1 and further in view of Baldwin et al., U.S. Patent Publication no. 2002/0068181 A1.

Ravichandran teaches an absorbing composition consisting of an inorganic compound, an absorbing compound and a material modification agent (Column 9, lines 50-59 and column 10, lines 55-67). The viscosity improvers, light stabilizers, biocides and antistatic agents meet the limitations of material modifiers (col. 10, lines 56-60).

Art Unit: 1795

The absorbing compounds include an epoxy carboxy resin and a silane modified acrylic melamine (column 10, line 9) as claimed in instant claim 7. In addition, when watersoluble, water miscible or water dispersible coatings are preferred, ammonium salts of acid groups present in the resin are formed. For example, a powder coating composition can be prepared by reacting glycidyl methacrylate with selected alcohol components (column 23, lines 49-53). Ravichandran also teaches silicon oxide as an inorganic compound used in combination with polysiloxanes and other activators and ligands as a stabilizer in the polymer composition (column 12, lines 20-41). Ravichandran also teaches phosphites (column 19, no. 4) as stabilizers used in the composition as in instant claim 18. In reference to claims 29 and 30, crosslinked polymers such as phenol/formaldehyde resins and epoxy acrylates are also used as stabilizers in the composition (column 14, no. 21 and 24). Ravichandran teaches adhesion promoters used in polymerization includes dialkoxyalkylsilanes, trialkoxysilanes and other similar silane intermediates (column 27, lines 56-61) as in instant claim 31. Ravichandran does not teach the adhesion promoters as in claim 1 of the invention nor that the organic absorbing compound has an absorption peak of at least 0.5nm wide at wavelengths of less than 375nm.

However, Hayashi teaches a film-forming composition comprising a siloxane polymer with a structure as in formula (3) on page 1. The composition also comprises an organic compound (page 2, [0025-0026]) and an ammonium compound. The ammonium compound forms a composition with a low dielectric constant, high modulus and excellent adhesion to the substrate (page 4, [0043]). The ammonium compound includes ammonium nitrate (page 4, [0050]), tetramethylammonium

Art Unit: 1795

nitrate, tetramethylammonium acetate, tetrabutylammonium nitrate and tetrabutylammonium acetate (page 7, [0055-0056]). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that tetramethylammonium nitrate, tetramethylammonium acetate, tetrabutylammonium nitrate or tetrabutylammonium acetate would combine with the silicon polymer in the composition of Ravichandran to form a silicon-based film with improved film-forming characteristics as taught by Hayashi because Hayashi teaches any of the ammonium compounds are capable of combining with the silicon polymer to form films with improved characteristics.

Additionally, Baldwin teaches a coating composition comprising an organic absorbing compound, an inorganic based compound and a silane reactant. The organic absorbing compound has an absorption peak at least approximately 10nm wide wavelength range at wavelengths less than 375nm (page 2, [0014]). The organic absorbing compounds have significant absorption at sub 200nm wavelengths. Putzer teaches photolithographic compositions that absorb at wavelengths of less than 375nm. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add the organic absorbing compound of Baldwin in the composition of Putzer because Baldwin teaches the organic absorbing compounds are suitable for photolithographic compositions.

 Claims 1 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kennedy et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,506,497 B1 in view of Dammel et al., U.S. Patent Publication No. 2004/0166434 A1.

Art Unit: 1795

Kennedy teaches an anti-reflective coating composition comprising one or more organic light-absorbing compounds, an inorganic compound and a silane reactant as relied upon above. Kennedy does not teach TMAA, TMAN or the compounds in claim 37.

However, Dammel teaches a resist coating composition comprising a polymer, a photoacid generator and an alkaline solution. The alkaline solution includes tetramethylammonium acetate (TMAA) (page 19, [0095]). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the tetramethylammonium acetate of Dammel in the resist composition of Kennedy because the TMAA promotes adhesion between the resist and antireflective layers.

## Response to Arguments

- 12. Applicant's arguments filed 11/30/2009, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1, 5-15, 27-28 and 59 under 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made herein.
- 13. Applicant argues that the Putzer reference is different than the instant invention.
  Further, that Putzer teaches that the adhesion promoters are crosslinking agents.

The 103(a) rejection over Kennedy in view of Putzer has been withdrawn.

Therefore, the argument is moot.

14. Applicant argues hindsight in the rejection over Baldwin.

In the 103(a) rejection, Baldwin is used to teach the limitation "wherein the absorbing compound strongly absorbs light over at least an approximately 0.5nm wide wavelength range at wavelengths less than 375nm". Baldwin teaches a coating

composition comprising an organic absorbing compound, an inorganic based compound and a silane reactant. The organic absorbing compound has an absorption peak at least approximately 10nm wide wavelength range at wavelengths less than 375nm (page 2, [0014]). The organic absorbing compounds have significant absorption at sub 200nm wavelengths. Putzer teaches photolithographic compositions that absorb at wavelengths of less than 375nm. Therefore, one of ordinary skill would add the organic absorbing compound of Baldwin in the composition of Putzer because Baldwin teaches the organic absorbing compounds are suitable for photolithographic compositions. Therefore, the rejection over Baldwin is not hindsight.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Connie P. Johnson whose telephone number is 571-272-7758. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am-4:00pm Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia Kelly can be reached on 571-272-1526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1795

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Connie P. Johnson/ Examiner, Art Unit 1795

/Cynthia H Kelly/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1752